

takan Masaria, in menangan menganahan palah palah palahkan majaganah jalah

UNITED ST. S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI

Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

				•	win.
į	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/434,507	11/05/99	STOUFFER	C	2288-006
1		The second secon	and the second s	–	EXAMINER
	ROBERTS ABO	KHAIR & MAR	OM12/1222 DULA LLC	POLLA	RD,S
			RIVE		T PAPER NUMBER
	SUITE 1000 RESTON VA 2	•		3727	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

12/22/00



09/434,507

Applicants)

Stouffer, Et. Al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Steven M. Pollard

Group Art Unit 3727



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)					
☐ Claims					
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	·				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest of the content of the certain priority under the content of the certain priority under the certain prior	er)				
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority to	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152). <u>3</u>				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOULOWING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 09/434,507

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being cearly anticipated by Gieser, Jr., et. al.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 14, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gieser, Jr., et. al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skil in the art to have employed beryllium or beryllium alloy in the construction of the device of Gieser, Jr., et. al., motivated by the desired material characteristics. The method claimed would have been obvious in the construction of the device of Gieser, Jr., et. al. The choice of temperature and compressive force would have been obvious matters of engineering design choie, motivated by the material characteristics and thickness.

Application/Control Number: 09/434,507

Art Unit: 3727

5. The references to Leventry, Mitchell, Keating, Espe, and Clair, Jr. have been cited to further show related structure.

Steven M. Pollard

16 December 2000

Steven Pollard
Primary Examiner